UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

CIVIL MINUTES - GENERAL

Case No.	CV 11-6210 ODW (JCx)	Dat	te 10/26/2011	
Title	Cedars-Sinai Medical Center v. SEIU United Health Workers-West			
Present:	The Honorable Otis D. Wr	right II, United States District Jud	dge	
Sh	eila English	Not Present	n/a	
De	eputy Clerk	Court Reporter	Tape No.	
Atto	rneys Present for Plaintiff(s)	: Attorneys Preser	nt for Defendant(s):	
	Not Present	Not Pro	Not Present	
Proceeding	gs (In Chambers): Order	r Granting Motion to Dismiss	81	

On July 27, 2011, Cedars-Sinai Medical Center ("Cedars") filed a Petition to Vacate Arbitrator's Ruling. [1] Specifically, Cedars seeks to vacate a ruling ordering Cedars to "disclose certain records of a patient." (Opp'n at 1.) On August 19, 2011, Defendant filed a motion to dismiss the petition for lack of jurisdiction. [8] Cedars acknowledges the order is not final but contends, first, that the parties agreed to this judicial review and, second, that this matter is subject to review as an "extreme case." (Opp'n at 4) (citing Aerojet-General Corp. v. American Arbitration Assn., 478 F.2d 248, 251 (9th Cir. 1973)).

First, private agreement does not confer jurisdiction upon this Court. See, e.g., Morongo Band of Mission Indians v. California State Bd. of Equalization, 858 F.2d 1376, 1380 (9th Cir.1988) ("The parties have no power to confer jurisdiction on the district court by agreement or consent."). And second, the Court agrees with Defendant that this is not an "extreme case" because, among other things, "HIPAA specifically authorizes the disclosure of the patient medical records to the Union." (Reply at 4.)

SO ORDERED

		_ : _	00
Initials of Preparer	SE		